## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

ISAIAH SCOTT BOONES	§	
v.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:07cv54
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID	<b>§</b>	
and		
ISAIAH SCOTT BOONES	<b>§</b>	
v.	<b>§</b>	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:07cv74
DIRECTOR, TDCJ-CID	§	

## MEMORANDUM ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND ORDER OF CONSOLIDATION

The Petitioner Isaiah Scott Boones, proceeding *pro se*, filed these two habeas corpus applications under 28 U.S.C. §2254 complaining of the legality of his conviction. Both petitions challenge the same conviction and raise the same grounds for relief. This Court ordered that the cases be referred to the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1) and (3) and the Amended Order for the Adoption of Local Rules for the Assignment of Duties to United States Magistrate Judges.

On February 14, 2007, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the two petitions be consolidated into a single action, styled <u>Isaiah Scott Boones v. Director, TDCJ-CID</u>, cause no. 6:07cv54. The Magistrate Judge further recommended that Boones only be charged one filing fee for this consolidated petition.

A copy of this Report was sent to Boones at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by

the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of

plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to factual findings and legal conclusions

accepted and adopted by the district court. Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association,

79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

The Court has reviewed the pleadings in this cause and the Report of the Magistrate

Judge and has determined that this Report is correct. It is accordingly

ORDERED that the Report of the Magistrate Judge is ADOPTED as the opinion of

the District Court. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled civil actions be and hereby are CONSOLIDATED

for all purposes into one application for the writ of habeas corpus, styled as Boones v. Director,

TDCJ-CID, civil action no. 6:07cv54. See Rule 42(a), Fed. R. Civ. P. Any pleadings or documents

received in either case shall be docketed in this cause; no further entries, after this order of

consolidation, shall be made on the docket of cause no. 6:07cv74. It is further

ORDERED that, inasmuch as cause no. 6:07cv74 is wholly subsumed within cause

no. 6:07cv54, a separate filing fee shall not be charged for cause no. 6:07cv74. This does not relieve

Boones of the obligation to pay the filing fee for cause no. 6:07cv54, as set out in 28 U.S.C.

§1915(b), or to seek leave to proceed in forma pauperis as specified in this Court's order of February

6, 2007. This order of consolidation shall not affect the substantive rights of any party to this case.

SIGNED this 21st day of March, 2007.

Muchael HUMANION MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE